

RESEARCH

Open Access

Divergence-free vector fields with orbital shadowing

Manseob Lee*

*Correspondence:
lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr
Department of Mathematics,
Mokwon University, Daejeon,
302-729, Korea

Abstract

We show that a divergence-free vector field belongs to the C^1 -interior of the set of divergence-free vector fields satisfying the orbital shadowing property when the vector field is Anosov.

MSC: 37C10; 37C50; 37D20

Keywords: divergence-free vector fields; star condition; shadowing; orbital shadowing; Anosov

1 Introduction

The shadowing theory is a very useful notion for the investigation of the stability condition. In fact, Robinson [1] and Sakai [2] proved that a diffeomorphism belongs to the C^1 -interior of the set of diffeomorphisms having the shadowing property coincides the structural stability, that is, the diffeomorphism satisfies both Axiom A and the strong transversality condition. In general, if a diffeomorphism is Ω -stable, that is, a diffeomorphism satisfies both Axiom A and a no-cycle condition, then there is a diffeomorphism which does not have the shadowing property (see, [3]). However, for another shadowing property, if a diffeomorphism is Ω -stable, then the diffeomorphism has another shadowing property.

In this article, we study another shadowing property which is called the orbital shadowing property. It is clear that if a diffeomorphism has the shadowing property, then it has the orbital shadowing property. But the converse is not true. In fact, an irrational rotation map does not have the shadowing property, but it has the orbital shadowing property.

The orbital shadowing property was introduced by Pilyugin *et al.* [3]. They showed that a diffeomorphism belongs to the C^1 -interior of the set of diffeomorphisms having the orbital shadowing property if and only if the diffeomorphism is structurally stable.

For a conservative diffeomorphism, Bessa [4] proved that a conservative diffeomorphism is in the C^1 -interior of the set of all conservative diffeomorphisms satisfying the shadowing property if and only if it is Anosov. Lee and Lee [5, 6] proved that a conservative diffeomorphism is in the C^1 -interior of the set of all conservative diffeomorphisms satisfying the orbital shadowing property if and only if it is Anosov. Also, for a conservative vector field, that is, a divergence-free vector field, Ferreira [7] proved that if a conservative vector field belongs to the C^1 -interior of the set of all conservative vector fields satisfying the shadowing property, then it is Anosov. From the results, we study that if a conservative vector field belongs to the C^1 -interior of the set of all conservative vector fields having the orbital shadowing property, then it is Anosov. Our result is a generalization of the main theorem in [7].

2 Basic notions, definitions and results

Let M be a closed, connected and smooth $n(\geq 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold endowed with a volume form, which has a measure μ , called the Lebesgue measure. Given a C^r ($r \geq 1$), vector field $X: M \rightarrow TM$, the solution of the equation $x' = X(x)$ generates a C^r flow, X^t ; on the other hand, given a C^r flow, we can define a C^{r-1} vector field by considering $X(x) = \frac{dX^t(x)}{dt}|_{t=0}$. We say that X is *divergence-free* (or a *conservative vector field*) if its divergence is equal to zero. Note that, by the Liouville formula, a flow X^t is volume-preserving if and only if the corresponding vector field X is divergence-free. Let $\mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ denote the space of C^r divergence-free vector fields, and we consider the usual C^1 Whitney topology on this space. Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$. For any $\delta > 0$ and $T > 0$, we say that $\{(x_i, t_i) : t_i \geq T, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a (δ, T) -pseudo orbit of $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ if

$$d(X^{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta,$$

for any $t_i \geq T, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define Rep as the set of increasing homeomorphisms $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(0) = 0$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and define $\text{Rep}(\epsilon)$ as follows:

$$\text{Rep}(\epsilon) = \left\{ h \in \text{Rep} : \left| \frac{h(t)}{t} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Let $\Lambda \subseteq M$ be a compact X^t -invariant set. We say that X^t has the *shadowing property* on Λ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(\delta, 1)$ -pseudo orbit $\{(x_i, t_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \Lambda$, let $T_i = t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_i$ for any $0 \leq i < b$, and $T_i = -t_{-1} - t_{-2} - \dots - t_i$ for any $a < i \leq 0$, there exist a point $y \in M$ and an increasing homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $h(0) = 0$ such that

$$d(X^{h(t)}(y), X^{t-T_i}(x_i)) < \epsilon,$$

for any $T_i < t < T_{i+1}$. If $\Lambda = M$, then X^t has the shadowing property. Now, we introduce the notion of the orbital shadowing property. For $x \in M$, we denote $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$ to be the orbit of X through x ; that is, $\mathcal{O}_X(x) = \{X^t(x) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We say that X^t has the orbital shadowing property if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(\delta, 1)$ -pseudo orbit $\xi = \{(x_i, t_i) : t_i \geq 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ there is a point $y \in M$ such that

$$\xi \subset B_\epsilon(\mathcal{O}_X(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_X(y) \subset B_\epsilon(\xi),$$

where $B_\epsilon(A)$ is the neighborhood of A . Note that the orbital shadowing property is a weak version of the shadowing property: the difference is that we do not require a point x_i of a pseudo-orbit ξ and the point $X^{t_i}(y)$ of an exact orbit $\mathcal{O}_X(y)$ to be close 'at any time moment'; instead, the sets of the points of X and $\mathcal{O}_X(y)$ are required to be close. Let Λ be a closed X^t -invariant set. We say that Λ is *hyperbolic* if there are constants $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that a continuous splitting $T_\Lambda M = E^s \oplus \langle X(x) \rangle \oplus E^u$ satisfying

$$\|DX^t|_{E^s(x)}\| \leq Ce^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{and} \quad \|DX^{-t}|_{E^u(x)}\| \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$$

for any $x \in \Lambda$ and $t > 0$. If $\Lambda = M$, then X is called Anosov.

Given a vector field X , we denote by $\text{Sing}(X)$ the set of *singularities* of X , i.e., those points $x \in M$ such that $X(x) = \vec{0}$. Let $R := M \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ be the set of *regular* points. We know that

the exponential map $\exp_p : T_p M(1) \rightarrow M$ is well defined for all $p \in M$, where $T_p M(1) = \{v \in T_p M : \|v\| \leq 1\}$. Given $x \in R$, we consider its normal bundle $N_x = \langle X(x) \rangle^\perp \subset T_x M$ and let $N_x(r)$ be the r -ball in N_x . Let $\mathcal{N}_{x,r} = \exp_x(N_x(r))$. For any $x \in R$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there are $r > 0$ and a C^1 map $\tau : \mathcal{N}_{x,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\tau(x) = t$ such that $X^{\tau(y)}(y) \in \mathcal{N}_{X^t(x),1}$ for any $y \in \mathcal{N}_{x,r}$. We say $\tau(y)$ the first return time of y . Then we define the *Poincaré map* f by

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathcal{N}_{x,r} &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{X^t(x),1}, \\ y &\mapsto f(y) = X^{\tau(y)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{x \in R} \mathcal{N}_x$ be the normal bundle based on R . One can define the associated *linear Poincaré flow* by $P_X^t(x) := \Pi_{X^t(x)} \circ DX^t(x)$, where $\Pi_{X^t(x)} : T_{X^t(x)} M \rightarrow N_{X^t(x)}$ is the projection along the direction of $X(X^t(x))$.

Denote by $\text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu(M)$ the set of divergence-free vector fields satisfying the orbital shadowing property.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$. If $X \in \text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu(M)$, then X has no singularity and X is Anosov.*

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a compact, X^t -invariant and regular set. We say that Λ is *hyperbolic* for P_X^t if N_Λ admits a P_X^t -invariant splitting $N_\Lambda = \Delta_\Lambda^s \oplus \Delta_\Lambda^u$ such that there is $l > 0$ satisfying

$$\|P_X^l|_{\Delta^s(x)}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_X^{-l}|_{\Delta^u(x)}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $x \in \Lambda$. The following is well known and one can find a proof in [8].

Theorem 3.1 *Λ is a hyperbolic set of X^t if and only if the linear Poincaré flow P_X^t restricted on Λ has a hyperbolic splitting $N_\Lambda = \Delta^s \oplus \Delta^u$.*

Consider a splitting $N = N^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N^k$ over Λ , for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that all the sub-bundles have constant dimensions. This splitting is *dominated* if it is P_X^t -invariant, and there is $l > 0$ such that for every $0 \leq i < j \leq k$, we have

$$\|P_X^l|_{N^i(x)}\| \cdot \|P_X^{-l}|_{N^j(X^l(x))}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for any $x \in \Lambda$.

The following was proved in [9].

Theorem 3.2 [9, Proposition 4.1] *If $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ admits a linear hyperbolic singularity of a saddle type, then P_X^t does not admit any dominated splitting over $M \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$.*

From the Theorem 3.2, we know that if a vector field X admits a dominated splitting, then $\text{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$.

Franks' lemma for divergence-free vector fields allows to realize the perturbations as perturbations of a fixed volume-preserving flow. Fix $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ and $\tau > 0$. A *one-parameter area-preserving linear family* $\{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ associated to $\{X_t(p); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ is defined as follows:

- $A_t: N_p \rightarrow N_p$ is a linear map for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $A_t = id$, for all $t \leq 0$ and $A_t = A_\tau$ for all $t \geq \tau$,
- $A_t \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and
- the family A_t is C^∞ on the parameter t .

The following result is proved in [10, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 3.3 *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$, there exists $\xi_0 = \xi_0(\epsilon, X)$ such that for all $\tau \in [1, 2]$, for any periodic point p of period greater than 2, for any sufficient small flowbox \mathcal{T} of $\{X_t(p); t \in [0, \tau]\}$ and for any one-parameter linear family $\{A_t\}_{t \in [0, \tau]}$ such that $\|A'_t A_t^{-1}\| < \xi_0$ for all $t \in [0, \tau]$, there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ satisfying the following properties:*

- Y is ϵ - C^1 -close to X ;
- $Y^t(p) = X^t(p)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- $P_Y^\tau(p) = P_X^\tau(p) \circ A_\tau$, and
- $Y|_{\mathcal{T}^c} \equiv X|_{\mathcal{T}^c}$.

Remark 3.4 Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$. By Zuppa's theorem [11], we can find Y C^1 -closed to X such that $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^\infty(M)$, $Y^\pi(p) = p$ and $P_Y^\pi(p)$ has an eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

A divergence-free vector field X is a *divergence-free star vector field* if there exists a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(X)$ of X in $\mathfrak{X}_\mu^1(M)$ such that if $Y \in \mathcal{U}(X)$, then every point in $\text{Crit}(Y)$ is hyperbolic. The set of divergence-free star vector fields is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_\mu^1(M)$. Then we get the following.

Theorem 3.5 [12, Theorem 1] *If $X \in \mathcal{G}_\mu^1(M)$, then $\text{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$ and X is Anosov.*

Thus, to prove Theorem 3.7, it is enough to show that if X is in the $\text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu(M)$, then $X \in \mathcal{G}_\mu^1(M)$.

Lemma 3.6 *If $X \in \text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu^1(M)$, then $X \in \mathcal{G}_\mu^1(M)$.*

Proof Let $X \in \text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu(M)$. Then there is a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(X)$ of X such that for any $Y \in \mathcal{U}(X)$, Y has the orbital shadowing property. Let $p \in \gamma \in \text{PO}(X_t)$ with $X^\pi(p) = p$ and U_p be a small neighborhood of p . We will derive a contradiction. Assume that there is an eigenvalue λ of $P_X^\pi(p)$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$. By Remark 3.4, there is $Y \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ such that $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu^\infty(M)$, $Y^\pi(p) = p$ and $P_Y^\pi(p)$ has an eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| = 1$. We define the map $f: \varphi_p^{-1}(N_p) \rightarrow N_p$ with the map being the Poincaré map associated to Y^t . Here $\varphi_p: U_p \rightarrow T_p M$ is a smooth conservative map with $\varphi_p(p) = \vec{0}$ (see, [13]). Let \mathcal{V} be a C^1 -neighborhood of f . Here N_p is the Poincaré section through p . By Lemma 3.3, we can find a small flowbox \mathcal{T} of $Y^{[0, t_0]}$, $0 < t_0 < \pi$ and there are $Z \in \mathcal{U}_0(Y) \subset \mathcal{U}(X)$, $g \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

- $Z^t(p) = Y^t(p)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $P_Z^{t_0}(p) = P_Y^{t_0}(p)$ and $Z|_{\mathcal{T}^c} = Y|_{\mathcal{T}^c}$,
- $g(x) = \varphi_p^{-1} \circ P_Y^\pi(p) \circ \varphi_p(x)$ for all $x \in B_\alpha(p) \cap \varphi_p^{-1}(N_p)$, and
- $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \notin B_{4\alpha}(p) \cap \varphi_p^{-1}(N_p)$.

By the notion of Lemma 3.3, we can assume that $P_Z^\pi(p)$ has an eigenvalue $|\lambda| = 1$. Firstly, we assume that $\lambda = 1$ (the other case is similar). Then we can choose a vector v associated to λ such that $\|v\| = \alpha/4$, and we set $\mathcal{I}_v = \{t \cdot v: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Since $\varphi_p^{-1}(v) \in \varphi_p^{-1}(N_p) \setminus \{p\}$,

$$g(\varphi_p^{-1}(v)) = \varphi_p^{-1} \circ P_Y^\pi(p) \circ \varphi_p(\varphi_p^{-1}(v)) = \varphi_p^{-1} \circ P_Y^\pi(p)(v) = \varphi_p(v).$$

For $0 < \epsilon < \alpha/8$, let $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ be as in the definition of the orbital shadowing property of Z^t . Set $\mathcal{J}_p = \varphi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_p)$. There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k = \varphi_p^{-1}(v)$, $v_0 = p$ and $|v_i - v_{i+1}| < \delta$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, where $v_i = t_i \cdot v$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. We construct a $(\delta, 1)$ pseudo-orbit of Z^t belonging to \mathcal{J}_p as follows:

- (a) $x_i = \varphi_p^{-1}(v_0)$, $t_i = \pi$ for $i < 0$,
- (b) $x_i = g(\varphi_p^{-1}(v_k))$, $t_i = \pi$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, and
- (c) $x_i = g^{i-k}(\varphi_p^{-1}(v_i))$, $t_i = \pi$ for $i \geq k$.

Then $\xi = \{(x_i, t_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a $(\delta, 1)$ -pseudo orbit of Z^t and it is contained in \mathcal{J}_p . By the orbital shadowing property, we can take a point $z \in M$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(z) \subset B_\epsilon(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \subset B_\epsilon(\mathcal{O}_Z(z)).$$

If $z \in \mathcal{J}_p$, then we know that there is $T_0 > 0$ such that $Z^{T_0}(z) \in B_\epsilon(x_0) \cap \mathcal{J}_p$, and $d(Z^{T_0}(z), x_k) = \alpha/32$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, Z^{T_0}(z)) &= d(\varphi_p^{-1}(v_0), \varphi_p^{-1}(Z^{T_0}(z))) = d(\varphi_p^{-1}(\vec{0}), \varphi_p^{-1}(Z^{T_0}(z))) \\ &= d(p, \varphi_p^{-1}(Z^{T_0}(z))) = \frac{\alpha}{8} > \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_Z(z) \not\subset B_\epsilon(\xi)$. This is a contradiction.

If $z \in M \setminus \mathcal{J}_p$, there is $T_1 > 0$ such that $Z^{T_1}(z) \in B_\epsilon(x_0)$. Then for some $j = n\pi$, we have

$$2\epsilon < d(x_0, x_k) \leq d(x_0, Z^{T_1}(z)) + d(Z^{T_1+j}(z), x_k) < 2\epsilon,$$

which is a contradiction.

Finally, we assume that λ is complex. By [10, Lemma 3.2], there is $Z \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ such that $P_Z^\pi(p)$ is a rational rotation. Then there is $l > 0$ such that $P_Z^{l+\pi}(p)$ is the identity. Then, as in the previous argument, we get a contradiction. \square

End of the proof of Theorem 3.7. By Lemma 3.6, $X \in \mathcal{G}_\mu^1(M)$. Thus by Theorem 3.5, $\text{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$ and X is Anosov. \square

By [7] and our main result, we have the following.

Corollary 3.7 *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}_\mu^1(M)$. Then*

$$\text{int } \mathcal{S}_\mu(M) = \text{int } \mathcal{OS}_\mu^1(M) = \mathcal{A}_\mu^1(M),$$

where $\text{int } \mathcal{S}_\mu(M)$ is the set of all divergence-free vector fields satisfying the shadowing property.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the referee for carefully reading of the manuscript and providing us with many good suggestions. This work is supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Korea (No. 2011-0007649).

Received: 8 January 2013 Accepted: 17 April 2013 Published: 7 May 2013

References

1. Robinson, C: Structural stability of vector fields. *Ann. Math.* **99**, 154-175 (1974)
2. Sakai, K: Pseudo-orbit tracing property and strong transversality of diffeomorphisms on closed manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.* **31**, 372-386 (1994)
3. Pilygin, SY, Rodionova, AA, Sakai, K: Orbital and weak shadowing properties. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **9**, 287-303 (2003)
4. Bessa, M: C^1 -stable shadowable conservative diffeomorphisms are Anosov. [arXiv:1112.3466](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3466)
5. Lee, K, Lee, M: Symplectic diffeomorphisms with orbital shadowing. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **25**, 739 (2012)
6. Lee, K, Lee, M: Volume-preserving diffeomorphisms with orbital shadowing. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 18 (2013)
7. Ferreira, C: Shadowing, expansiveness and stability of divergence-free vector fields, preprint
8. Doering, C: Persistently transitive vector fields on three-dimensional manifolds. In: *Proceedings on Dynamical Systems and Bifurcation Theory*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 160, pp. 59-89 (1987)
9. Vivier, T: Projective hyperbolicity and fixed points. *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **26**, 923-936 (2006)
10. Bessa, M, Rocha, J: On C^1 -robust transitivity of volume-preserving flows. *J. Differ. Equ.* **245**(11), 3127-3143 (2008)
11. Zuppa, C: Regularisation C^∞ des champs vectoriels qui préservent l'élément de volume. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **10**, 51-56 (1979)
12. Ferreira, C: Stability properties of divergence-free vector fields. *Dyn. Syst.* **27**, 223-238 (2012)
13. Moser, J: On the volume elements on a manifold. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **120**, 286-294 (1965)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-132

Cite this article as: Lee: Divergence-free vector fields with orbital shadowing. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 **2013**:132.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com